IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE SIXTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION NO : 15172 of 2000

Between:

1 Srikanth S/o. T.Krishna, R/o. H.No. 18-7-658/32/1,

Ayodhya Nagar Colony, Gowlipura, Hyderabad.
2 Sri Krishna S/o. T.Narsimulu, R/o. H.No. 18-7-658/32/1,

Ayodhya Nagar Colony, Gowlipura Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

-

1 Station House Officer, Women's Police Station,
Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad.
2 Sri Ganga Mohan, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Women's Police

Station, Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue Writ or order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus directing the Respondents not to detain nor call the petitioners to the Women's Police Station without resisting and First information report case of a written complaint.

Counsel for the Petitioners: MR.B.SHANKER

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

The first petitioner is the son of second petitioner. It is the case of the petitioners that the first petitioner married one Surekha. Immediately after one month of the marriage, troubles started and as a result of which, the wife of the first petitioner filed maintenance case and also an FIR alleging harassment of dowry. The first petitioner therefore, filed O.P.No.209 of 1998 on the file of the Family Court, Hyderabad for divorce. In this writ petition, it is alleged that on 5.8.2000, the first respondent called the petitioners to police station and made them sit in the police station without there being any crime against them. Complaining harassment by the police, the present writ petition is filed.

The learned Additional Government Pleader relying on the counter affidavit filed by the first respondent submits that the petitioners were never called to the police station and no crime was registered against them in the first respondent police station.

In view of the counter averments, the writ petition cannot be entertained. However, this court observes that when there is a civil dispute between the wife and husband, the police cannot interfere in the matter.

The Writ Petition, with the above observations, is dismissed. No costs.

_____

V.V.S. RAO, J.

6th July, 2005

Js

To

1 Station House Officer, Women's Police Station,
Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad.
2 Sri Ganga Mohan, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Women's Police

Station, Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad.

3 Two CCs to G.P. for Home, High Court Buildings,

Hyderabad.

4 2 CD copies.